

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/550,238	KIM ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	John Heyman	2871	

**All Participants:**

(1) John Heyman

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Edward C. Kwok

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 31 October 2007

**Time:** 2:50pm

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

'103 rejection to prior art, Saitou

**Claims discussed:**

1 and 11

**Prior art documents discussed:**

Saitou (JP Pub. No. 02-245733)

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Amending Claims 1 and 11 with "consisting of" language instead of "including" to overcome rejection.

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)